

Math 2270-1

Notes of 10/25/19

- Announcement: slightly changed schedule, check online

5.4-5.5 More on Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

- All matrices are square. Unless stated otherwise the number of rows and columns equals n .
- Recall: A matrix A is **diagonalizable** if there exists a non-singular matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that

$$D = P^{-1}AP.$$

- In that formula, P is the matrix of eigenvectors of A , and the diagonal entries of D are the corresponding eigenvalues.
- A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if it has a basis of eigenvectors.
- A matrix that is not diagonalizable is **defective**.



diagonalizability is separate from invertibility.

singular invertible

defective: $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

diagonalizable: $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Matrix Construction

- It is sometimes useful to be able to construct a matrix with given eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Note that

$$D = P^{-1}AP$$

is equivalent to

$$A = PDP^{-1}.$$

Suppose you want to construct a matrix A with given eigenvalues and given eigenvectors. Proceed as follows:

1. Collect the eigenvectors into the matrix P as before.
2. Compute P^{-1} .
3. Compute

$$A = PDP^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

The Jordan Canonical Form

- It is not always possible to diagonalize a matrix. However, for all matrices A there exists a similarity transform to its **Jordan Canonical Form**⁻¹⁻ (named after Camille Jordan, 1838-1922).
- The JCF is a block diagonal matrix

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & J_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where each diagonal block is of the form

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_i & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

- Apart from reordering the diagonal blocks the JCF is unique.
- Each Jordan block J_i corresponds to one eigenvector with eigenvalue λ_i .
- A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if all of its Jordan blocks are 1×1 .

⁻¹⁻ The textbook mentions the Jordan Canonical Form in a footnote on page 294.

Distinct Eigenvalues

- A set of eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues is linearly independent. (The word “distinct” means that no two of the eigenvalues are equal.)
- Suppose we have a set of k eigenvectors \mathbf{x}_i with corresponding eigenvalues λ_i , i.e.,

$$A\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{x}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

and

$$i \neq j \quad \implies \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j.$$

- Suppose the eigenvectors are in fact linearly dependent. Then one of them, say \mathbf{x}_1 , can be written as a linear combination of some of the others. By omitting unnecessary vectors, and relabeling vectors if necessary, we can find a set $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}$ such that \mathbf{x}_1 can be written **uniquely** as

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_j \mathbf{x}_j.$$

This implies that the set $\{\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}$ is linearly independent. Then we get

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{x}_1 - \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_j \mathbf{x}_j.$$

Multiplying with A gives

$$\mathbf{0} = A\mathbf{0} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 - \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_j \lambda_j \mathbf{x}_j.$$

- We now consider two cases. If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ we can write

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_j \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_1} \mathbf{x}_j.$$

Since the eigenvalues are distinct we get a different linear combination for \mathbf{x}_1 which contradicts our assumption that the coefficients of the linear combination are unique.

- If $\lambda_1 = 0$ we get the equation

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{j=2}^m \alpha_j \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_j$$

which contradicts our assumption that the set $\{\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}$ is linearly independent.

- So the original set

$$\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$$

is linearly independent.

- Recall that a matrix is diagonalizable if it has a set of n linearly independent eigenvectors.
- Thus a matrix with distinct eigenvalues is diagonalizable.
- This implies, for example, that the JCF can be computed only in exact arithmetic.
- A non-diagonalizable matrix must have multiple eigenvalues.
- Using inexact arithmetic, for example floating point arithmetic, would introduce errors that are effectively random. As a result the eigenvalues would be generically distinct, and the JCF would be diagonal.
- If a matrix is not diagonalizable then it is possible to change its entries by an arbitrarily small amount and make the matrix diagonalizable.
- Conceptually this is similar to invertibility: generically zero is not an eigenvalue and the matrix is invertible.

Complex Eigenvalues

- We saw that the characteristic polynomial of a real matrix is a polynomial of degree n with real coefficients.
- A polynomial with real coefficients may have complex roots. If it does then those complex roots occur in conjugate complex pairs.
- We also saw that for every (suitably normalized) polynomial with real coefficients there exists a real matrix with that polynomial as its characteristic polynomial.
- So every polynomial (with leading term $(-\lambda)^n$) is the characteristic polynomial of infinitely many (all similarity transforms of the companion matrix) matrices.
- Moreover, complex eigenvalues can occur naturally in applications.
- Here is a very simple example. Suppose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the map $\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow A\mathbf{x}$ rotates \mathbf{x} counterclockwise by an angle θ .

- Its eigenvalues can't be real (unless θ is an integer multiple of π).
- So the eigenvalues of A must be complex. Let's compute them.

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} \cos\theta - \lambda & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (\cos\theta - \lambda)^2 + \sin^2\theta = 0$$

$$\cos\theta - \lambda = \pm i \sin\theta$$

$$\lambda = \cos\theta \pm i \sin\theta$$

$$= e^{\pm i\theta}$$

Euler's Formula

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i \sin\theta$$

$$\text{evector} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta - x \sin\theta \\ \sin\theta + x \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} \\ x e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cos\theta - x \sin\theta = e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i \sin\theta$$

$$x = -i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta - i \sin\theta \\ \sin\theta + i \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} = e^{-i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$



The most important thing to know about complex eigenvalues is that **symmetric real matrices don't have any!** The textbook addresses this issue in problem 24 on page 303 (and later in chapter 7).

$$z = a + bi \quad z \bar{z} = a^2 + b^2 > 0$$

- But the argument is quite simple.
- For any matrix A or vector \mathbf{x} let

$$A^H = \bar{A}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^H = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T$$

where the bar denotes conjugate complex.

- A complex matrix A is **Hermitian**⁻²⁻ if

$$A = \bar{A}^T.$$

- We will show that the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real.



Note that symmetric real matrices are special cases of Hermitian matrices.

⁻²⁻ named after Charles Hermite, 1822–1901.

- Suppose

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

where $A = A^H$, and A , λ , and \mathbf{x} are all possibly complex. Taking the conjugate complex on both sides turns this into

$$\mathbf{x}^H A^H = \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{x}^H. \quad (3)$$

Left multiplying with \mathbf{x}^H in (2) and right multiplying with \mathbf{x} in (3) gives

$$\mathbf{x}^H A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}^H\mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^H A\mathbf{x} = \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{x}^H\mathbf{x}.$$

Thus

$$\lambda\mathbf{x}^H\mathbf{x} = \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{x}^H\mathbf{x}.$$

This implies that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, i.e., λ is real.

- This is a great example of simplifying a problem by generalizing it!