#### Section D.1: Two Types of Arguments: Inductive and Deductive

## Definition of an argument

An *argument* is a reasoned process that uses a set of facts or assumptions, called *premises*, to support a *conclusion*.

There are two types of arguments: inductive and deductive.

Definition of an inductive argument

An inductive argument makes a case for a general conclusion from more specific premises.

Ex.1 Inductive argument. <u>Premise</u>: Birds fly up into the air but eventually come back down. <u>Premise</u>: People who jump into the air fall back down. <u>Premise</u>: Rocks thrown into the air come back down. <u>Premise</u>: Balls thrown into the air come back down. <u>Conclusion</u>: What goes up must come down.

Definition of a deductive argument A *deductive argument* makes a case for a specific conclusion from more general premises.

**Ex.2 Deductive argument.** <u>Premise</u>: All politicians are married. <u>Premise</u>: Senator Harris is a politician. <u>Conclusion</u>: Senator Harris is married.

## **Evaluating Inductive Argument**

How to evaluate an inductive argument

An inductive argument can be analyzed only in terms of its strength. An argument is *strong* if it makes a compelling case for its conclusion. It is *weak* if its conclusion is not well supported by its premises. An inductive argument cannot prove that its conclusion is true. At best, a strong inductive argument shows that its conclusion is *probably* true.

Ex.3 Strong.

<u>Premise</u>: Birds fly up into the air but eventually come back down. <u>Premise</u>: People who jump into the air fall back down. <u>Premise</u>: Rocks thrown into the air come back down.

<u>Premise</u>: Balls thrown into the air come back down.

<u>Conclusion</u>: What goes up must come down.

Ex.4 Not strong.

<u>Premise</u>: The movie director hired big stars for the lead roles in the next movie.

Premise: The movie director has a great advertising campaign planned for the next movie.

<u>Premise</u>: The next movie is a sequel to her last hit movie.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The next movie will be a hit.

Ex.5 Strong.

<u>Premise</u>: For thousands of years past, the San Andreas Fault has suffered a major earthquake at least once every hundred years.

<u>Conclusion</u>: We should expect another earthquake on the fault during the next one hundred years.

### **Evaluating Deductive Argument**

How evaluate a deductive argument

A deductive argument can be analyzed in terms of its validity and soundness. An argument is *valid* if its conclusion follows necessarily from its premises. It is *sound* if it is valid and its premises are true.

Validity is concerned only with the logical structure of the argument: validity involves no personal judgment and has nothing to do with the truth of the premises or conclusion. Thus a deductive argument can be valid even if its conclusion is false.

A sound deductive argument provides definite proof that its conclusion is true. However, evaluating soundness often involves personal judgment.

**Ex.6** Valid but not sound.

<u>Premise</u>: All politicians are married. <u>Premise</u>: Senator Harris is a politician. <u>Conclusion</u>: Senator Harris is married.

## Section D.2: Tests of Validity

### Tests of validity

We can test validity by examining the structure of a deductive argument in a systematic way using Venn diagrams:

- Draw a Venn diagram that represents all the information contained in the premises.
- Check to see whether the Venn diagram also contains the conclusion. If it does, then the argument is VALID. If it doesn't, then the argument is NOT VALID.

Ex.7 Valid.

<u>Premise</u>: All politicians are married. <u>Premise</u>: Senator Harris is a politician. <u>Conclusion</u>: Senator Harris is married.

Lecture notes

Math 1030 Section D

Ex.8 Not valid. <u>Premise</u>: All fish live in the water. <u>Premise</u>: Whales are not fish. <u>Conclusion</u>: Whales do not live in the water.

Math 1030 Section D

Ex.9 Not valid.

<u>Premise</u>: All 20th-century US presidents were men. <u>Premise</u>: John Kennedy was a man. <u>Conclusion</u>: John Kennedy was a 20th-century US president.

Lecture notes

# **Conditional Deductive Argument**

Definition of a conditional deductive argument

A *conditional deductive argument* is a deductive argument in which the first premise is a conditional statement "if *p*, then *q*".

### Lecture notes

Math 1030 Section D

# Types of conditional deductive arguments

There are four basic types of conditional deductive arguments: (a) Affirming the Hypothesis

<u>Premise</u>: If p, then q. <u>Premise</u>: p is true. <u>Conclusion</u>: q is true.

## (b) Affirming the Conclusion

<u>Premise</u>: If p, then q. <u>Premise</u>: q is true. <u>Conclusion</u>: p is true.

# (c) **Denying the Hypothesis**

<u>Premise</u>: If p, then q. <u>Premise</u>: p is not true. <u>Conclusion</u>: q is not true.

## (d) **Denying the Conclusion**

<u>Premise</u>: If p, then q. <u>Premise</u>: q is not true. <u>Conclusion</u>: p is not true.

**Ex.10** Affirming the hypothesis.

<u>Premise</u>: If a person lives in Chicago, then the person likes windy days.

Premise: Carlos lives in Chicago.

Conclusion: Carlos likes windy days.

**Ex.11** Affirming the conclusion. <u>Premise</u>: If an employ is regularly late, then he will be fired. <u>Premise</u>: Robert was fired. <u>Conclusion</u>: Robert was regularly late.

Ex.12 Denying the hypothesis. <u>Premise</u>: If you liked the book, then you will love the movie. <u>Premise</u>: You didn't like the book. <u>Conclusion</u>: You will not love the movie.

Lecture notes

Math 1030 Section D

**Ex.13** Denying the conclusion. <u>Premise</u>: A narcotic is habit-forming. <u>Premise</u>: Heroin is not habit-forming. <u>Conclusion</u>: Heroin is not a narcotic.

## Deductive Argument with a Chain of Conditionals

Definition of a chain of conditionals

A deductive argument with a *chain of conditionals* is a deductive argument with the premises given by conditionals.

• VALID chain of conditionals: <u>Premise</u>: If *p*, then *q*. <u>Premise</u>: If *q*, then *r*. <u>Conclusion</u>: If *p*, then *r*.

Ex.14 Valid chain of conditionals.

<u>Premise</u>: If Maria Lopez is elected to the school board, then the school district will raise academic standards. <u>Premise</u>: If the school district raises academic standards, then my children will benefit. <u>Conclusion</u>: If Maria Lopez is elected to the school board, then my children will benefit.

• INVALID chain of conditionals: <u>Premise</u>: If *p*, then *q*. <u>Premise</u>: If *r*, then *q*. <u>Conclusion</u>: If *p*, then *r*.

Ex.15 Invalid chain of conditionals. <u>Premise</u>: If you shop, then I make dinner. <u>Premise</u>: If you take out the trash, then I make dinner. <u>Conclusion</u>: If you shop, then you take out the trash.

## Section D.3: Induction and Deduction in Mathematics

# Definition of a mathematical proof

A mathematical proof is a deductive argument that demonstrates the truth of a certain claim, called theorem.

## Ex.16 Pythagorean Theorem.

In a right triangle, the square of the longest side (called *hypothenuse*) is the sum of the squares of the other two sides.

We can use inductive arguments not to prove a theorem, but to test if a rule is true or not.

**Ex.17 Test 1**. Is it true that for all numbers a and b

 $a \times b = b \times a?$ 

**Ex.18 Test 2**. Is it true that for any number *a* 

$$\frac{2}{3} = \frac{2+a}{3+a}?$$