

Math 2250-3

HW for Wed 10/27.

1

Wed 10/20

4.7 1, (2,3) 4, (5) 6, (7,8), 9, (10), (13,15,17)

(21, 23, 25)

5.1 (2) (9) (11) (17) (27) 29, 30, 31, (34, 35, 39)

5.2 (2) 5, (9), (11), (13), 21, (22), 25, (26)

§ 4.7 General Vector spaces
(= § 4.5 in old edition)

Still need to prove a neat fact,
which was in notes last Friday, Oct 15. p.3:

If V is n -dimensional, then

(a) If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ span V they are also independent \rightarrow i.e. basis

(b) If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ are indep., they also span \rightarrow i.e. basis

pf: (a) If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is dep.,

then some \vec{v}_j is a linear combo of others, call it \vec{v}_n .

i.e. $\vec{v}_n = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} \vec{v}_{n-1}$

but then $\text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}\}$

since $d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_n \vec{v}_n = d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_{n-1} \vec{v}_{n-1} + d_n (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} \vec{v}_{n-1})$!

so would have $(n-1)$ vectors spanning n -dim'l space — can't happen. \square

(b) If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ don't span, let \vec{w} be a vector in V which is not a linear combo of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$.

Then $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n, \vec{w}\}$ are independent since

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n + d \vec{w} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow d = 0 \text{ (else } \vec{w} \text{ is in span of } \vec{v}_i\text{'s)}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0 \quad \square$$

example : We already seen all this for \mathbb{R}^n .

example $\mathcal{P}^2 = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ($\mathcal{P}^2 =$ polys in x of degree ≤ 2).

$$= \{a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 \text{ s.t. } a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = 1$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = x$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = x^2$$

these vectors span \mathcal{P}^2 , by definition.
are they a basis?

$$c_1 \cdot 1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 + 2c_3 x \equiv 0 \quad (\text{take deriv})$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c_3 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow c_3 = 0 \Rightarrow c_2 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$$

So \mathcal{P}^2 is 3-dimensional.

example continued :

Why can we write

$$\frac{6x}{(x-1)(x+1)(x+2)} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x+1} + \frac{C}{x+2} \quad \text{for some unique choice of } A, B, C?$$

$$= \frac{A(x+1)(x+2) + B(x-1)(x+2) + C(x-1)(x+1)}{(x-1)(x+1)(x+2)}$$

$$6x = A(x+1)(x+2) + B(x-1)(x+2) + C(x-1)(x+1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_1 &= (x+1)(x+2) \\ \vec{v}_2 &= (x-1)(x+2) \\ \vec{v}_3 &= (x-1)(x+1) \end{aligned}$$

Are $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ a basis for P_2 ?
(If so, A, B, C exist and are unique)

Well, are $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ linearly independent?

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$$

$$c_1(x+1)(x+2) + c_2(x-1)(x+2) + c_3(x-1)(x+1) \equiv 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} x=1 &\Rightarrow -2c_1 = 0 \\ x=2 &\Rightarrow 3c_3 = 0 \\ x=-1 &\Rightarrow 6c_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} x=1 \\ x=2 \\ x=-1 \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{yes!}$$

so by logic of previous page we have a basis!

linear algebra explains why partial fractions works!

Of course, you already believed it worked.
And you've used 2 approaches to do partial fractions:

(1) plug in : $x=1 \Rightarrow 6 = \frac{6A}{1} \Rightarrow A=1$
 into boxed numerator equality $x=-2 \Rightarrow -12 = 3C \Rightarrow C=-4$
 $x=-1 \Rightarrow -6 = -2B \Rightarrow B=3$

$$\frac{6x}{(x-1)(x+1)(x+2)} = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{3}{x+1} - \frac{4}{x+2}$$

(2) write boxed equality using the natural basis $\{1, x, x^2\}$:

$$6x = x^2(A+B+C) + x(3A+B) + 1(2A-2B-C)$$

because $\{1, x, x^2\}$ are lin ind.

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 & A+B+C = 0 \\ x & 3A+B = 6 \\ 1 & 2A-2B-C = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 6 \\ 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \end{array}$$

```
> A:=matrix(3,4, [1,1,1,0,
                 3,1,0,6,
                 2,-2,-1,0]);
```

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 6 \\ 2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> rref(A);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

example Let $\mathcal{L}(y) = y'' - 4y'$

\mathcal{L} is a linear operator on (twice differentiable) functions in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$\text{i.e. } \mathcal{L}(y_1 + y_2) = \mathcal{L}(y_1) + \mathcal{L}(y_2) \quad \because (y_1 + y_2)'' - 4(y_1 + y_2)' = (y_1'' - 4y_1') + (y_2'' - 4y_2')$$

$$\mathcal{L}(cy_1) = c\mathcal{L}(y_1) \quad \because (cy_1)'' - 4(cy_1)' = c(y_1'' - 4y_1')$$

Thus, the solution space to the homogeneous differential equation $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$

is a subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

$$(\text{Since if } \mathcal{L}(y_1) = \mathcal{L}(y_2) = 0 \text{ then } \mathcal{L}(y_1 + y_2) = 0 \\ \mathcal{L}(cy_1) = 0.)$$

Let's find the general sol'n to

$$y'' - 4y' = 0.$$

if $v = y'$ then $v' - 4v = 0$

$$v' = 4v$$

$$v(x) = \tilde{c}_1 e^{4x}$$

$$y' = \tilde{c}_1 e^{4x}$$

$$y = \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{4} e^{4x} + c_2$$

$$y = c_1 e^{4x} + c_2 - 1$$

$\{e^{4x}, 1\}$ span W .

are they lin ind?

$$c_1 e^{4x} + c_2 \cdot 1 \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4c_1 e^{4x} + 0 \equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

W is a 2-dim'l vector space.

example cont

$$\text{solve } \begin{cases} y'' - 4y' = 0 \\ y(0) = 1 \\ y'(0) = 6 \end{cases}$$

$$y(x) = c_1 e^{4x} + c_2$$

$$y'(x) = 4c_1 e^{4x}$$

$$y(0) = 1 = c_1 + c_2$$

$$y'(0) = 6 = 4c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$y(x) = \frac{3}{2} e^{4x} - \frac{1}{2}$$