## Math 6070-1, Spring 2006, University of Utah Solutions to Project #3 [Theoretical Portion]

2. Suppose  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  is a stationary time series with mean  $\mu := 0$  and autocovariance function  $\gamma$ . Choose and fix two integer times S < T. Suppose we wish to estimate  $X_T$  based on  $X_S$  alone. We wish to use a linear estimator. That is, one of the type  $\alpha X_S + \beta$ . Find estimates for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that are optimal in the sense that they minimize the mean-squared error.

**Solution:** Hereforth, let h := T - S denote the lag. The MSE is

$$M(\alpha, \beta) := E\left[ (X_T - \alpha X_S - \beta)^2 \right]$$
$$= E\left[ (X_h - \alpha X_0 - \beta)^2 \right],$$

by stationarity. Because  $E(X_t) = 0$ , and  $Cov(X_s, X_t) = \gamma(t - s)$  for all s, t, we can expand the previous display to find that

$$M(\alpha,\beta) = E(X_h^2) + \left\{ E\left[ \left(\alpha X_0 + \beta\right)^2 \right] \right\} - 2E\left[ X_h \left(\alpha X_0 + \beta\right) \right]$$
$$= \gamma(0) + \alpha^2 \gamma(0) + \beta^2 - 2\alpha \gamma(h).$$

Differentiate to find that

$$rac{\partial M(lpha\,,eta)}{\partial lpha}=2lpha\gamma(0)-2\gamma(h) \qquad ext{and} \qquad rac{\partial M(lpha\,,eta)}{\partial eta}=2eta.$$

Set both to zero to find the "normal equations" for  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$ . They are:

$$\hat{\alpha} = rac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)} \quad ext{and} \quad \hat{\beta} = 0.$$

So the best linear estimator of  $X_T$  based solely on  $X_S$  is

$$\widehat{X_T} := \frac{\gamma(T-S)}{\gamma(0)} X_S.$$

- **3.** Let  $\{W_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a white noise process with variance  $\sigma^2$ . Suppose  $|\phi| < 1$ , and define  $X_1 := W_1, X_2 := \phi X_1 + W_2, X_3 := \phi X_2 + W_3, \ldots, X_n := \phi X_{n-1} + W_n \ldots$ 
  - (a) Prove that  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  is not stationary. [Hint: It is not even weakly stationary.]

Solution: (a) Recall first that  $E(W_t) = 0$ ,  $Cov(W_s, W_t) = 0$  if  $s \neq t$ , and  $Var(W_t) = \sigma^2$  for all t. Next, we solve for the  $X_n$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \phi X_{n-1} + W_n = \phi \left( \phi X_{n-2} + W_{n-1} \right) + W_n \\ &= \phi^2 X_{n-2} + \phi W_{n-1} + W_n \\ &= \phi^2 \left( \phi X_{n-3} + W_{n-2} \right) + \phi W_{n-1} + W_n \\ &= \phi^3 X_{n-3} + \phi^2 W_{n-2} + \phi W_{n-1} + W_n \\ &\vdots \\ &= \phi^k X_{n-k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi^j W_{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose k := n to find that for all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$X_n = \frac{1}{1 - \phi^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^j W_{n-j}.$$

Consequently,  $E(X_n) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . But this is a far cry from weak stationarity. For instance, because the  $W_j$ 's are uncorrelated,

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \phi^n\right)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi^{2j} \sigma^2 = \frac{1 - \phi^{2n}}{\left(1 - \phi^n\right)^2 \left(1 - \phi\right)} \sigma^2.$$

As this depends on n,  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  cannot be weakly stationary.

(b) Prove that nonetheless  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  is "asymptotically weakly stationary," in the sense that  $\gamma_0(h) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h})$  exists for all  $h \ge 0$ . Compute the said limit.

**Solution:** Because  $E(X_n) = 0$ , for all  $t, h \ge 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi^t)(1 - \phi^{t+h})} E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \phi^i W_{t-i} \times \sum_{j=0}^{t+h-1} \phi^j W_{t+h-j}\right].$$

But  $[W_{t-i}W_{t+h-j}] = 0$  unless j = i + h. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi^t)(1 - \phi^{t+h})} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \phi^i \phi^{i+h} \sigma^2$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2 \phi^h}{(1 - \phi^t)(1 - \phi^{t+h})} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \phi^{2i}$$
$$\longrightarrow \frac{\sigma^2 \phi^h}{1 - \phi^2} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Thus,  $\gamma_0(h) = \sigma^2 \phi^h / (1 - \phi^2).$ 

(c) [Hard] What happens if  $|\phi| \ge 1$ ?

**Solution:** First consider the case  $\phi = 1$ . In this case,

$$X_t = X_{t-1} + W_t = X_{t-2} + W_{t-1} + W_t = \dots = \sum_{j=1}^t W_j.$$

This is obviously not a stationary process. For instance, although  $E(X_t) = 0$  for all  $t \ge 1$ , we have  $\operatorname{Var}(X_t) = t\sigma^2$ . If  $\phi = -1$ , then

$$X_t = -X_{t-1} + W_t = -X_{t-2} - W_{t-1} + W_t = \dots = \sum_{j=1}^t (-1)^j W_j.$$

Therefore,  $\operatorname{Var}(X_t) = t\sigma^2$ , whence follows the non-stationarity of  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ .

If  $|\phi| > 1$ , then one can still solve for  $X_t$  explicitly. But we can simply note that

$$X_t^2 = \phi^2 X_{t-1}^2 + W_t^2 + 2\phi X_{t-1} W_t,$$

and so (why?),

$$Var(X_t) = E(X_t^2) = \phi^2 E(X_{t-1}^2) + \sigma^2 \ge \phi^2 E(X_{t-1}^2)$$
  
$$\ge \phi^4 E(X_{t-2}^2) \ge \cdots \phi^{2k} E(X_{t-k}^2) \ge \cdots$$
  
$$> \phi^{2(t-1)} \sigma^2.$$

Because  $|\phi| > 1$ , this proves that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Var}(X_t) = \infty$ . In particular,  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  cannot be weakly stationary.