## Solutions to Homework #1 Math 6070-1, Spring 2006

1. Compute, carefully, the moment generating function of a  $Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ . Use it to compute the moments of a Gamma-distributed random variable.

Solution: Recall that

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta x}, \qquad 0 < x < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$M(t) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-(\beta - t)x} dx.$$

If  $t \geq \beta$ , then  $M(t) = \infty$ . But if  $t < \beta$  then  $M(t) = \beta^{\alpha}/(\beta - t)^{\alpha}$ . Its derivatives are  $M'(t) = \beta^{\alpha}\alpha(\beta - t)^{-\alpha - 1}$ ,  $M''(t) = \beta^{\alpha}\alpha(\alpha - 1)(\beta - t)^{-\alpha - 2}$ , and so on. The general term is  $M^{(k)}(t) = \beta^{\alpha}(\beta - t)^{-\alpha - k}\prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1}(\alpha - \ell)$ . Thus,  $EX = M'(0) = \alpha/\beta$ ,  $EX^2 = M''(0) = \alpha(\alpha - 1)/\beta^2$ , and so. In general, we have  $EX^k = \beta^{-k}\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(\alpha - j)$ .

2. Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be an independent sample (i.e., they are i.i.d.) with finite mean  $\mu = EX_1$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = VarX_1$ . Define

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X}_n)^2, \tag{1}$$

where  $\bar{X}_n := (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$  denotes the sample average. First, compute  $E\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ . Then prove, carefully, that  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$  converges in probability to  $\sigma^2$ .

**Solution:**  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2$ . Therefore,  $\hat{E}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \hat{E}[X_1^2] - \hat{E}[(\bar{X}_n)^2]$ . But  $\hat{E}X_1^2 = \hat{V}ar(X_1) + \mu^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ . Similarly,  $\hat{E}[(\bar{X}_n)^2] = \hat{V}ar(\bar{X}_n) + (\hat{E}\bar{X}_n)^2 = (\sigma^2/n) + \mu^2$ . Therefore,  $\hat{E}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \sigma^2(n-1)/n$ . As regards the large-sample theory . . . we apply the law of large numbers twice:  $\bar{X}_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$ ; and  $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n X_i^2 \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ . This proves that  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

- 3. Let U have the Uniform-(0,1) distribution.
  - (a) Prove that if F is a distribution function and  $F^{-1}$ —its inverse function—exists, then the distribution function of  $X := F^{-1}(U)$  is F.

**Solution:**  $P\{X \le x\} = P\{U \le F(x)\} = F(x)$ , whence we have  $F_X = F$ , as desired.

(b) Use the preceding to prove that  $X := \tan U$  has the Cauchy distribution. That is, the density function of Y is

$$f_X(a) := \frac{1}{\pi(1+a^2)}, \quad -\infty < a < \infty.$$
 (2)

Solution and Correction: Let C have the Cauchy distribution. Then,

$$F_C(a) = P\{C \le a\} = \int_{-\infty}^a \frac{du}{\pi(1+u^2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan a - \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan(-\infty) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan(a) + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $F_C^{-1}(a) = \arctan(\pi x - \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $F_C^{-1}(U) \sim \text{Cauchy}$ . Note that  $V := \pi U - (\pi/2) \sim \text{Uniform-}(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ . So  $\arctan(V)$  is indeed Cauchy, where  $V \sim \text{Uniform-}(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

(c) Use the preceding to find a function h such that Y := h(U) has the Exponential  $(\lambda)$  distribution.

**Solution:** If  $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$  then  $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \lambda e^{-\lambda z} dz = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ . Thus,  $h(x) := F^{-1}(x) = -\lambda^{-1} \ln(1-x)$ , and  $F^{-1}(U) \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Note that 1-U has the same distribution as U. So  $-\lambda^{-1} \ln(U) \sim \operatorname{Exponential}(\lambda)$ .

4. A random variable X has the logistic distribution if its density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$
 (3)

(a) Compute the distribution function of X.

**Solution:** Set  $y := 1 + e^{-x}$  to find that

$$F(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} dx = \int_{1+\exp(-a)}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}.$$

(b) Compute the moment generating function of X.

**Solution:** Again set  $y := e^{-x}$  to find that

$$M(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{(t-1)x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{-t}}{(1+y)^2} dy.$$

If  $|t| \ge 1$  then this is  $\infty$  [consider the integral for small y when  $t \ge 1$ , or large y when  $t \le -1$ ]. On the other hand, if |t| < 1 then this is finite. Remarkably enough, M(t) can be computed explicitly when |t| < 1. Note that

$$\frac{1}{(1+y)^2} = \int_0^\infty z e^{-z(1+y)} dz.$$

Therefore,

$$M(t) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty z e^{-z(1+y)} dz \right) y^{-t} dy$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty y^{-t} e^{-zy} dy \right) z e^{-z} dz,$$

because the order of integration can be reversed. A change of variable [w:=yz] shows that the inner integral is

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty y^{-t} e^{-zy} \, dy &= z^{t-1} \int_0^\infty w^{-t} e^{-w} \, dw \\ &= z^{t-1} \Gamma(1-t). \end{split}$$

Therefore, whenever |t| < 1,

$$M(t) = \Gamma(1-t) \int_0^\infty z^t e^{-z} dz$$
$$= \Gamma(1-t)\Gamma(1+t).$$

There are other ways of deriving this identity as well. For instance, we can write  $w := (1+y)^{-1}$ , so that  $dw = -(1+y)^{-2} dy$  and y = (1/w) - 1. Thus,

$$M(t) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{w} - 1\right)^{-t} dw$$
$$= \int_0^1 w^t (1 - w)^{-t} dw = B(1 + t, 1 - t),$$

where  $B(a,b) := \int_0^1 w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1} dw$  denotes the "beta function." From tables (for instance), we know that  $B(a,b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$ . Therefore,  $M(t) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t)/\Gamma(2) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t)$ , as desired.

(c) Prove that  $E\{|X|^r\} < \infty$  for all r > 0.

**Solution:** We will prove a more general result: If  $M(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \in (-t_0, t_0)$  where  $t_0 > 0$ , then  $\mathrm{E}(|X|^k) < \infty$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Recall that  $\mathrm{E}Z = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{P}\{Z > t\} \, dt$  whenever  $Z \geq 0$ . Apply this with  $Z := |X|^k$  to find that

$$E(|X|^k) = \int_0^\infty P\{|X|^k > r\} dr$$
$$= \int_0^\infty P\{|X| > r^{1/k}\} dr$$
$$= k \int_0^\infty P\{|X| > s\} s^{k-1} ds.$$

[Set  $s := r^{1/k}$ .] Fix  $t \in (-t_0, t_0)$ . Then, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathrm{P}\{|X|>s\} = \mathrm{P}\left\{e^{t|X|} \geq e^{ts}\right\} \leq \frac{\mathrm{E}[e^{t|X|}]}{e^{ts}}.$$

But  $e^{t|x|} \leq e^{tx} + e^{-tx}$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , with room to spare. Therefore,  $\mathrm{E}[r^{t|X|}] \leq M(t) + M(-t)$ , whence it follows that for  $t \in (-t_0, t_0)$  fixed and A := M(t) + M(-t),  $\mathrm{P}\{|X| > s\} \leq Ae^{-st}$ . Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}(|X|^k) \leq Ak \int_0^\infty s^{k-1} e^{-st} \, ds = Akt^k \Gamma(k) = Ak! t^k < \infty.$$