

### Random Variables and their distributions

We would like to say that a random variable  $X$  is a “numerical outcome of a complicated and/or random experiment.” This is not sufficient. For example, suppose you sample 1,500 people at random and find that their average age is 25. Is  $X = 25$  a “random variable”? Surely there is nothing random about the number 25!

What is “random” here is the procedure that led to the number 25. This procedure, for a second sample, is likely to lead to a different number. Procedures are functions, and thence

**Definition 1.** A *random variable* is a function  $X$  from  $\Omega$  to some set  $D$  which is usually [for us] a subset of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ , or  $d$ -dimensional space  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .

In order to understand this, let us construct a random variable that models the number of dots in a roll of a fair six-sided die.

Define the sample space,

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}.$$

We assume that all outcome are equally likely [fair die].

Define  $X(\omega) = \omega$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and note that for all  $k = 1, \dots, 6$ ,

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = k\}) = P(\{k\}) = \frac{1}{6}. \quad (7)$$

This probability is zero for other values of  $k$ . Usually, we write  $\{X \in A\}$  in place of the set  $\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in A\}$ . In this notation, we have

$$P\{X = k\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, 6, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

This is a math model for the result of a coin toss.

### The distribution of a random variable

Suppose  $X$  is a random variable, defined on some probability space  $\Omega$ . By the *distribution* of  $X$  we mean the collection of probabilities  $P\{X \in A\}$ , as  $A$  ranges over all sets that could possibly contain  $X$  in them.

If  $X$  takes values in a finite, or countably-infinite set, then we say that  $X$  is a *discrete random variable*. Its distribution is called a *discrete distribution*. The function

$$f(x) = P\{X = x\}$$

is then called the *mass function* of  $X$ . Be warned, however, that your textbook does not use this terminology.

The following simple result tells us that if we know the mass function of  $X$ , then we know the entire distribution of  $X$  as well.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $X$  is a discrete random variable with mass function  $f$ , then  $P\{X \in A\} = \sum_{z \in A} P\{X = z\}$  for all at-most countable sets  $A$ .*

It might be useful to point out that a set  $A$  is “at most countable” if  $A$  is either a finite set, or its elements can be labeled as  $1, 2, \dots$ . [A theorem of G. Cantor states that the real line is *not* at most countable.]

**Proof.** The event  $\{X \in A\}$  can be written as a disjoint union  $\cup_{z \in A} \{X = z\}$ . Now apply the additivity rule of probabilities.  $\square$

**An example.** Suppose  $X$  is a random variable whose distribution is given by

$$P\{X = 0\} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad P\{X = 1\} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad P\{X = -1\} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

What is the distribution of the random variable  $Y := X^2$ ? The possible values of  $Y$  are 0 and 1; and

$$P\{Y = 0\} = P\{X = 0\} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{whereas } P\{Y = 1\} = P\{X = 1\} + P\{X = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

### The binomial distribution

Suppose we perform  $n$  independent trials; each trial leads to a “success” or a “failure”; and the probability of success per trial is the same number  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $X$  denote the total number of successes in this experiment. This is a discrete random variable with possible values  $0, \dots, n$ . We say then that  $X$  is a binomial random variable [ $X = \text{Bin}(n, p)$ ].

Math modelling questions:

- Construct an  $\Omega$ .
- Construct  $X$  on this  $\Omega$ .

We saw in Lecture 7 that

$$P\{X = x\} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} & \text{if } x = 0, \dots, n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**An example.** Consider the following sampling question: *Ten percent of a certain population smoke. If we take a random sample [without replacement] of 5 people from this population, what are the chances that at least 2 people smoke in the sample?*

Let  $X$  denote the number of smokers in the sample. Then  $X = \text{Bin}(n, p)$  [“success” = “smoker”]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X \geq 2\} &= 1 - P\{X \leq 1\} \\ &= 1 - P(\{X = 0\} \cup \{X = 1\}) \\ &= 1 - [p(0) + p(1)] \\ &= 1 - \left[ \binom{5}{0} 0.1^0 (1 - 0.1)^{5-0} + \binom{5}{1} 0.1^1 0.9^{5-1} \right] \\ &= 1 - 0.9^5 - (5 \times 0.1 \times 0.9^4). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we can write

$$P\{X \geq 2\} = P(\{X = 2\} \cup \dots \cup \{X = n\}) = \sum_{j=2}^5 P\{X = j\},$$

and then plug in  $P\{X = j\} = \binom{5}{j} 0.1^j 0.9^{5-j}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, 5$ .

## Joint distributions

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are both random variables defined on the same sample space  $\Omega$  [for later applications, this means that  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined simultaneously in the same problem]. Then their *joint distribution* is the collection of all probabilities of the form  $P\{X \in A, Y \in B\}$ , as  $A$  and  $B$  range over all possible sets, respectively in which  $X$  and  $Y$  could possibly land. An argument similar to the one that implied Proposition 1 shows that

$$P\{X \in A, Y \in B\} = \sum_{x \in A, y \in B} P\{X = x, Y = y\}.$$

Therefore, in order to know the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $Y$  it suffices to know the *joint mass function*

$$f(x, y) = P\{X = x, Y = y\} \quad \text{for all } x, y.$$

[Warning: Your textbook writes  $f(x, y)$  as  $P(x, y)$ , and does not refer to it as a joint mass function.]

If we know the joint mass function, then we can compute the individual [also known as *marginal*] mass functions of  $X$  and  $Y$  as follows:

$$P\{X = x\} = \sum_b P\{X = x, Y = b\}$$

$$P\{Y = y\} = \sum_c P\{X = c, Y = y\}.$$

The term “marginal” comes from examples of the following type:

**An example (Two draws at random, Pitman, p. 144).** We make two draws at random, without replacement, from a box that contains tickets numbered 1, 2, and 3. Let  $X$  denote the value of the first draw and  $Y$  the value of the second draw. The following tabulates the function  $f(x, y) = P\{X = x, Y = y\}$  for all possible values of  $x$  and  $y$ :

		possible value for $X$			dist of $Y$
		1	2	3	(row sums)
possible values for $Y$	3	1/6	1/6	0	1/3
	2	1/6	0	1/6	1/3
	1	0	1/6	1/6	1/3
dist of $X$		1/3	1/3	1/3	1
(column sums)					(total sum)

Thus, for example,

$$P\{X = Y\} = f(1, 1) + f(2, 2) + f(3, 3) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0,$$

$$P\{X > Y\} = f(2, 1) + f(3, 1) + f(3, 2) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$P\{X + Y = 3\} = f(1, 2) + f(2, 1) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

In fact, the mass function for  $Z := X + Y$  is given below:

$$P\{Z = 3\} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad P\{Z = 5\} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

When we know the joint mass function of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we are also able to make conditional computations. For instance,

$$P(Y = y | X = x) = \frac{P\{X = x, Y = y\}}{P\{X = x\}} = \frac{f(x, y)}{\sum_b P\{X = x, Y = b\}} = \frac{f(x, y)}{\sum_b f(x, b)}.$$

Note that as a function of  $y$ , the preceding gives a distribution of probabilities. But as a function of  $x$  it has no particular structure.

**More on the previous example.** In the previous example,

$$P(Y = 1 | X = 2) = \frac{f(2, 1)}{f(2, 1) + f(2, 2) + f(2, 3)} = \frac{1/6}{1/3} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

And

$$P(Y = 3 | X = 2) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{also.}$$

In other words:

- (1) The [marginal] distribution of  $Y$  is  $1/3$  probability on each of the possible values 1, 2, and 3;
- (2) However, if we are told that  $X = 2$ , then the [conditional] distribution of  $Y$  is  $1/2$  probability on the values 1 and 3 each.
- (3) What if we are told that  $X = 3$ ? How about the conditional distribution of  $X$ , given that  $Y = 1$ ?

## Independence

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are jointly distributed random variables, then [by the conditional probabilities formula]

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X = x, Y = y\} &= P\{X = x\} \cdot P\{Y = y | X = x\} \\ &= P\{Y = y\} \cdot P\{X = x | Y = y\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

**Definition 2.**  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *independent* if

$$P\{X = x, Y = y\} = P\{X = x\} \cdot P\{Y = y\} \quad \text{for all possible } x, y.$$

Thanks to (9):

- (1)  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if

$$P\{X = x | Y = y\} = P\{X = x\} \quad \text{for all possible values of } x, y.$$

- (2) Equivalently,  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if

$$P\{Y = y | X = x\} = P\{Y = y\} \quad \text{for all possible values of } x, y.$$

By Rule 3 of probabilities,

$$P\{X \in A, Y \in B\} = \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} P\{X = x, Y = y\}.$$

Therefore, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $P\{X \in A, Y \in B\} = P\{X \in A\} \cdot P\{Y \in B\}$ . And the converse is obvious if you consider sets  $A$  and  $B$  that have one element in them each.

### Several random variables

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are random variables, then we can consider their joint mass function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := P\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\}.$$

And  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are *independent* if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P\{X_1 = x_1\} \times \dots \times P\{X_n = x_n\} \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_n.$$