

### Conditional distributions (discrete case)

The basic idea behind conditional distributions is simple: Suppose  $(X, Y)$  is a jointly-distributed random vector with a discrete joint distribution. Then we can think of  $P\{X = x | Y = y\}$ , for every fixed  $y$ , as a distribution of probabilities indexed by the variable  $x$ .

**Example 1.** Recall the following joint distribution from your text:

		possible value for X		
		1	2	3
possible values for Y	3	1/6	1/6	0
	2	1/6	0	1/6
	1	0	1/6	1/6

Now suppose we know that  $Y = 3$ . Then, the conditional distribution of  $X$ , given that we know  $Y = 3$ , is given by the following:

$$P\{X = 1 | Y = 3\} = \frac{P\{X = 1, Y = 3\}}{P\{Y = 3\}} = \frac{1/6}{1/3} = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$P\{X = 2 | Y = 3\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

similarly, and  $P\{X = x | Y = 3\} = 0$  for all other values of  $x$ . Note that, in this example,  $\sum_x P\{X = x | Y = 3\} = 1$ . Therefore, the “conditional distribution” of  $X$  given that  $Y = 3$  is indeed a total collection of probabilities. As such, it has an expectation, variance, etc., as well. For instance,

$$E(X | Y = 3) = \sum_x P\{X = x | Y = 3\} = \left(1 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(2 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2}.$$

That is, if  $Y = 3$ , then our best predictor of  $X$  is  $3/2$ . Whereas,  $EX = 2$ , which means that our best predictor of  $X$ , in light of no additional

information, is 2. You should check that also

$$E(X | Y = 1) = \frac{5}{2}, \quad E(X | Y = 2) = 2.$$

Similarly,

$$E(X^2 | Y = 3) = \left(1^2 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(2^2 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) = 3,$$

whence

$$\text{Var}(X | Y = 3) = 3 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{3}{4}.$$

You should compare this with the unconditional variance  $\text{Var}X = 8/3$  (check the details!).

Fix a number  $y$ . In general, the conditional distribution of  $X$  given that  $Y = y$  is given by the table [function of  $x$ ]:

$$P\{X = x | Y = y\} = \frac{P\{X = x, Y = y\}}{P\{Y = y\}} = \frac{P\{X = x, Y = y\}}{\sum_a P\{X = a, Y = y\}}.$$

It follows easily from this that: (i)  $P\{X = x | Y = y\} \geq 0$ ; and (ii)  $\sum_x P\{X = x | Y = y\} = 1$ . This shows that we really are studying a [here conditional] probability distribution. As such,

$$E[g(X) | Y = y] = \sum_x g(x)P\{X = x | Y = y\},$$

as long as the sum converges absolutely [or when  $g(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ ].

**Example 2.** Choose and fix  $0 < p < 1$ , and two integers  $n, m \geq 1$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables; we suppose that  $X$  has a binomial distribution with parameters  $n$  and  $p$ ; and  $Y$  has a binomial distribution with parameters  $m$  and  $p$ . Because  $X + Y$  can be thought of as the total number of successes in  $n + m$  tosses of independent  $p$ -coins, it follows that  $X + Y$  has a binomial distribution with parameters  $n + m$  and  $p$ . Our present goal is to find the conditional distribution of  $X$ , given that  $X + Y = s$ , for a fixed integer  $0 \leq s \leq n + m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X = x | X + Y = s\} &= \frac{P\{X = x, X + Y = s\}}{P\{X + Y = s\}} \\ &= \frac{P\{X = x\} \cdot P\{Y = s - x\}}{P\{X + Y = s\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The numerator is zero unless  $x = 0, \dots, s$ . But if  $0 \leq x \leq s$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X = x | X + Y = s\} &= \frac{\binom{n}{x} p^x q^{n-x} \cdot \binom{m}{s-x} p^{s-x} q^{m-s+x}}{\binom{n+m}{s} p^s q^{n+m-s}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{x} \cdot \binom{m}{s-x}}{\binom{n+m}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, given that  $X + Y = s$ , then the conditional distribution of  $X$  is a hypergeometric distribution! We can now read off the mean and the variance from facts we know about hypergeometrics. Namely, according to Example 1 on page 61 of these notes,

$$E(X | X + Y = s) = \frac{n}{n + m} \times s,$$

and Example 2 on page 61 tells us that

$$\text{Var}(X | X + Y = s) = s \frac{n}{n + m} \frac{m}{n + m} \frac{n + m - s}{s - 1}.$$

(Check the details! Some care is needed; on page 61, the notation was slightly different than it is here: The variable  $B$  there is now  $n$ ;  $N$  there is now  $n + m$ , etc.)

**Example 3.** Suppose  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, distributed respectively as  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_1)$  and  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_2)$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$  are fixed constants. What is the distribution of  $X_1$ , given that  $X_1 + X_2 = s$  for a positive [fixed] integer  $s$ ?

We will need the distribution of  $X_1 + X_2$ . Therefore, let us begin with that: The possible values of  $X_1 + X_2$  are  $0, 1, \dots$ ; therefore the “convolution” formula for discrete distributions implies that for all  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 + X_2 = a\} &= \sum_x P\{X_1 = x\} \cdot P\{X_2 = a - x\} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_1^x e^{-\lambda_1}}{x!} \cdot P\{X_2 = a - x\} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^a \frac{\lambda_1^x e^{-\lambda_1}}{x!} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2^{a-x} e^{-\lambda_2}}{(a-x)!} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{a!} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^a \binom{a}{x} \lambda_1^x \lambda_2^{a-x} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{a!} \cdot (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^a \quad [\text{binomial theorem}]; \end{aligned}$$

and  $P\{X_1 + X_2 = a\} = 0$  for other values of  $a$ . In other words,  $X_1 + X_2$  is distributed as  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>**Aside:** This and induction together prove that if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and all distributed respectively as  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda_1), \dots, \text{Poisson}(\lambda_n)$ , then  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is distributed according to a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 = x \mid X_1 + X_2 = s\} &= \frac{P\{X_1 = x\} \cdot P\{X_2 = s - x\}}{P\{X_1 + X_2 = s\}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1^x e^{-\lambda_1}}{x!} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2^{s-x} e^{-\lambda_2}}{(s-x)!} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^s e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{s!} \\ &= \binom{s}{x} \mathcal{P}^x Q^{s-x}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \quad Q := 1 - \mathcal{P} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}.$$

That is, the conditional distribution of  $X_1$ , given that  $X_1 + X_2 = s$ , is Binomial( $s, \mathcal{P}$ ).

### Continuous conditional distributions

Once we understand conditional distributions in the discrete setting, we could predict how the theory should work in the continuous setting [although it is quite difficult to justify that what is about to be discussed is legitimate].

Suppose  $(X, Y)$  is jointly distributed with joint density  $f(x, y)$ . Then we *define* the conditional density of  $X$  given  $Y = y$  [assuming that  $f_Y(y) \neq 0$ ] as

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) := \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \quad \text{for all } -\infty < x < \infty.$$

This leads to conditional expectations:

$$E[g(X) \mid Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx,$$

etc. As such we have now  $E(X \mid Y = y)$ ,  $\text{Var}(X \mid Y = y)$ , etc. in the usual way using [now conditional] densities.

**Example 4** (Uniform on a triangle, p. 414 of your text). Suppose  $(X, Y)$  is chosen uniformly at random from the triangle  $\{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq 2\}$ . Find the conditional density of  $Y$ , given that  $X = x$  [for a fixed  $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ].

We know that  $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $(x, y)$  is in the triangle and  $f(x, y) = 0$  otherwise. Therefore, for all fixed  $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ,

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^{2-x} f(x, y) dy = \frac{2-x}{2},$$

and  $f_X(x) = 0$  for other values of  $x$ . This yields the following: For all  $0 \leq y \leq 2 - x$ ,

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{1/2}{(2-x)/2} = \frac{1}{2-x},$$

and  $f_{Y|X}(y|x) = 0$  for all other values of  $y$ . In other words, given that  $X = x$ , the conditional distribution of  $Y$  is  $\text{Uniform}(0, 2 - x)$ . Thus, for instance,  $P\{Y > 1 | X = x\} = 0$  if  $2 - x < 1$  [i.e.,  $x > 1$ ] and  $P\{Y > 1 | X = x\} = (1 - x)/(2 - x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Alternatively, we can work things out the longer way by hand:

$$P\{Y > 1 | X = x\} = \int_1^{\infty} f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy.$$

Now the integrand is zero if  $y > 2 - x$ . Therefore, unless  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , the preceding probability is zero. When  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , then we have

$$P\{Y > 1 | X = x\} = \int_1^{2-x} \frac{1}{2-x} dy = \frac{1-x}{2-x}.$$

As another example within this one, let us compute  $E(Y | X = x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y | X = x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy \\ &= \int_0^{2-x} \frac{y}{2-x} dy = \frac{2-x}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

[Alternatively, we can read this off from facts that we know about uniforms; for instance, we should be able to tell—without computation—that  $\text{Var}(Y | X = x) = (2 - x)^2/12$ . Check this by direct computation also!]