

The sample space, events, and outcomes

- Need a math model for describing “random” events that result from performing an “experiment.”
- Ω denotes a “sample space,” that is more appropriately also called an “outcome space.” We think of the elements of Ω as “outcomes” of the experiment.
- \mathcal{F} is a collection of subsets of Ω ; elements of \mathcal{F} are called “events.” We wish to assign a “probability” $P(A)$ to every $A \in \mathcal{F}$. When Ω is finite, \mathcal{F} is always taken to be the collection of all subsets of Ω .

Example 1. Roll a six-sided die; what is the probability of rolling a six? First, write a sample space. Here is a natural one:

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}.$$

In this case, Ω is finite and we want \mathcal{F} to be the collection of all subsets of Ω . That is,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1\}, \dots, \{6\}, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \{1, 6\}, \dots, \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}\}.$$

Example 2. Toss two coins; what is the probability that we get two heads? A natural sample space is

$$\Omega = \{(H_1, H_2), (H_1, T_2), (T_1, H_2), (T_1, T_2)\}.$$

Once we have readied a sample space Ω and an event-space \mathcal{F} , we need to assign a probability to every event. This assignment cannot be made at whim; it has to satisfy some properties.

Rules of probability

Rule 1 (Nonnegative). $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$ for every event A .

Rule 2 (Total one). $P(\Omega) = 1$. “Something will happen with probability one.”

Rule 3 (Addition). If A and B are disjoint events [i.e., $A \cap B = \emptyset$], then the probability that at least one of the two occurs is the sum of the individual probabilities. More precisely put,

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B).$$

Lemma 1. Choose and fix an integer $n \geq 1$. If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are disjoint events, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = P(A_1) + \dots + P(A_n).$$

Proof. The proof uses *mathematical induction*.

Claim. If the assertion is true for $n - 1$, then it is true for n .

The assertion is clearly true for $n = 1$, and it is true for $n = 2$ by Rule 3. Because it is true for $n = 2$, the Claim shows that the assertion holds for $n = 3$. Because it holds for $n = 3$, the Claim implies that it holds for $n = 4$, etc.

Proof of Claim. We can write $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ as $A_1 \cup B$, where $B = A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$. Evidently, A_1 and B are disjoint. Therefore, Rule 3 implies that $P(A) = P(A_1 \cup B) = P(A_1) + P(B)$. But B itself is a disjoint union of $n - 1$ events. Therefore $P(B) = P(A_2) + \dots + P(A_n)$, thanks to the assumption of the Claim [“the induction hypothesis”]. This ends the proof. \square

Rules 1–3 suffice if we want to study only finite sample spaces. But infinite sample spaces are also interesting. This happens, for example, if we want to write a model that answers, “what is the probability that we toss a coin 12 times before we toss heads?” This leads us to the next, and final, rule of probability.

Rule 4 (Extended addition rule). If A_1, A_2, \dots are [countably-many] disjoint events, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i).$$

This rule will be extremely important to us soon. It looks as if we might be able to derive this as a consequence of Lemma 1, but that is not the case ...it needs to be assumed as part of our model of probability theory.

Rules 1–4 have other consequences as well.