Determinants

This handout is about determinants. I don't like the way Artin and other books define them. I think the sign of a permutation is a much more basic/elementary concept than the determinant and defining it in terms of determinants, as Artin does, is putting the cart before the horse.

1. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Define the determinant

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where the summation goes over all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

- 2. Just as a sanity check, show that for n = 1, 2, 3 we get the usual formulas for the determinant.
- 3. Show that the determinant of a permutation matrix (look up the definition in Artin) is equal to the sign of the permutation.
- 4. The determinant of an upper (lower) triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal entries.
- 5. Show that we would get the same formula if we reversed the roles of rows and colums and wrote

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} a_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)n}$$

Hint: A permutation and its inverse have the same sign.

- 6. If a row (column) is 0, the determinant is 0.
- 7. If two rows are swapped, the determinant changes the sign.
- 8. If two rows (columns) are equal, determinant is 0.
- 9. Multiplying a row/column by x multiplies the determinant by x.
- 10. If A, B, C are 3 matrices that have all entries equal except in one, say i^{th} , row (or column), and in that row we have $c_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$ for all j, then $\det(C) = \det(A) + \det(B)$. Thus the determinant is a linear function of a row, when the other rows are fixed.

- 11. Adding a multiple of one row/column to another does not change the determinant. Hint: This follows from #9,10.
- 12. det(AB) = det(A) det(B). Hint: First prove this when one of the two matrices is an elementary matrix.
- 13. A is invertible iff $det(A) \neq 0$.
- 14. Prove directly from our definition of the determinant that Artin's formula holds, say using the first row.
- 15. The summand $a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)}\cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$ can be pictured by placing *n* rooks on the $n \times n$ chess board. No two rooks attack each other and any such placement of rooks corresponds to a summand. Is there a quick way to determine the sign of the permutation from the position of the rooks? Maybe even find the cycle decomposition?