

---

# Class #26

---

Dedekind's axiom & Neutral Geometry

---

# Dedekind's axiom

- Suppose that set  $\{l\}$  of all points on a line  $l$  is a disjoint union  $S_1 \cup S_2$  of two nonempty subsets so that no point of either subset is between two points of the other. Then there exists a unique point  $O$  on  $l$  such that one of the subsets is equal to a ray of  $l$  with vertex  $O$  and the other is equal to the rays complement.
  - $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are called *Dedekind's cut* of the line  $l$ .
-

---

# Neutral geometry

- If we use I1-I3, B1-B4, C1-C6 and continuity axioms we can do a lot, but can not get Euclidean geometry. What we do get, we will call neutral geometry.
  - Neutral geometry + Euclidean PP = Euclidean geometry
  - Neutral geometry + Hyperbolic PP = Hyperbolic geometry
-

# Alternate interior angles

- We will say that a line  $t$  is a *transversal* to lines  $l \neq l'$  if there are exactly two distinct points  $B$  and  $B'$  such that  $\{l\} \cap \{t\} = \{B\}$  and  $\{l'\} \cap \{t\} = \{B'\}$ .
- Let  $A*B*C$  be points on  $l$ ,  $A'*B'*C'$  be points on  $l'$  so that  $A$  and  $A'$  are on the same side of  $t$ . The angles  $\sphericalangle ABB'$ ,  $\sphericalangle CBB'$ ,  $\sphericalangle A'B'B$  and  $\sphericalangle C'B'B$  are called *interior*.
- Pairs  $(\sphericalangle ABB', \sphericalangle C'B'B)$  and  $(\sphericalangle A'B'B, \sphericalangle CBB')$  are called *alternate interior angles*.
- Let's see some [pictures](#).

# Alternate interior angle theorem

- If two lines cut by a transversal have a pair of congruent alternate interior angles, then the two lines are parallel.
- Proof: Let  $t$  be a transversal to lines  $l$  and  $l'$  and  $\sphericalangle ABB' \cong \sphericalangle C'B'B$  (the notation is the same as in definition of alternate interior angles). Our claim is that the lines  $l$  and  $l'$  are parallel. Assume contrary, that is assume that they intersect, say at a point  $D$ . By axiom C1 there is a unique point  $E$  on the ray  $BA$  so that  $BE \cong B'D$ . We now have (added in red in the figure)
  - $BE \cong B'D$
  - $B'B \cong B'B$
  - $\sphericalangle EBB' \cong \sphericalangle DB'B$  (hypothesis)

so, by SAS, we conclude that  $\triangle ABB'D \cong \triangle B'BE$ . By definition of congruent triangles, we have  $\sphericalangle DBB' \cong \sphericalangle BB'E$  (in green).

By our hypothesis we know that  $\sphericalangle EBB' \cong \sphericalangle DB'B$ . By Proposition 3.14 we know that congruent angles have congruent supplements, so the supplements of these two angles are congruent. Supplement of  $\sphericalangle EBB'$  is  $\sphericalangle DBB'$ , and let us call  $\sphericalangle DB'B$ 's supplement  $\sphericalangle X$ , hence  $\sphericalangle X \cong \sphericalangle DBB'$ . Angle  $\sphericalangle X$  and  $\sphericalangle EBB'$  both share a side, and they are congruent, so by Axiom C4 they have to be equal (that is their remaining sides have to coincide). Since  $\sphericalangle X = \sphericalangle EBB'$  is a supplement to  $\sphericalangle DB'B$ , we conclude that  $B'E$  and  $B'D$  are opposite rays, hence  $E$  and  $D$  lie on the same line, line  $l'$ . However,  $E$  and  $D$  also lie on  $l$ . We now have two lines  $l$  and  $l'$  passing through two distinct points, so by I1,  $l = l'$ , which contradicts our hypothesis. Therefore, our assumption must be wrong, and  $l$  and  $l'$  are in fact parallel.

